



Co-funded by
the European Union

Eco Edu Project

2024-1-FR01-KA220-SCH-000245902


8th- 14th of June 2025

1° LTT

(1 is the lowest level and 5 the highest level)


1. Convenience of the meeting place

The 60% of the demanders answered "5", the 30% voted "4" and the rest 10% voted "3".




2. Convenience of the meeting dates

The 70% of the demanders answered "5" and the 30% voted "4"




3. The information you received before the meeting, intended to facilitate your participation in the meeting

The 60% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4" and the rest 20% voted "3".




4. The agenda of the meeting

The 60% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4" and the rest 20% voted "3".




5. Meeting timetables and duration

The 60% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4", the 10% voted "3" and the rest 10% voted "2".




6. Equipment and facilities (overhead projectors, internet, etc.)

The 60% of the demanders answered "5", the 10% voted "4", the 20% voted "3" and the rest 10% voted "2".




7. The working conditions for the meeting

The 60% of the demanders answered "5", the 10% voted "4" and the rest 30% voted "3".




8. The management and the development of the work in the meeting

The 60% of the demanders answered "5", the 10% voted "4" and the rest 30% voted "3".




9. Supporting documentation provided during the meeting

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4", the 20% voted "3" and the rest 10% voted "2".




10. The results reached at the end of the meeting

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4", the 20% voted "3" and the rest 10% voted "2".




11. Meals and coffee breaks

The 60% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "3" and the rest 20% voted "2".




12. Leisure, culture activities

The 70% of the demanders answered "5" and the rest 30% voted "4".




13. Balance between working sessions / breaks and leisure activities

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 30% voted "4" and the rest 20% voted "3".




14. Project partners interaction during the meeting

The 60% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4", the 10% voted "3" and the rest 10% voted "2".




15. Working Sessions (as scheduled in the agenda).

The 60% of the demanders answered “5”, the 30% voted “4” and the rest 10% voted “4”.




16. Start of meeting (welcome speech, introduction, practical issues)

The 50% of the demanders answered “5”, the 30% voted “4” and the rest 20% voted “3”.




17. Presentations of partners' organizations

The 50% of the demanders answered “5”, the 30% voted “4” and the rest 20% voted “3”.




18. Presentation of the project

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4", the 20% voted "3" and the rest 10% voted "2".




19. Presentation of activities and discussion of future plans

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4" and the rest 30% voted "3".




20. Presentation of activities and discussion of future plans

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4" and the rest 30% voted "3".




21. Presentation of results which we, as project partner, have to achieve

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 30% voted "4" and the rest 20% voted "3".




22. Discussions with partners

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 30% voted "4" and the rest 20% voted "3".




23. Clarity of each work package and its development

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4", the 10% voted "3" and the rest 20% voted "2".



24. Presentation of Dissemination importance and its development in the project period

The 50% of the demanders answered "5", the 20% voted "4" and the rest 30% voted "3".



25. Comments from partners. Conclusions.

Few moments of activity, comparison and aggregation among the participants. Good program of recreational activities proposed, but to improve in the organization.

positive comments great relationships

We are a great team

Necessary topics for the smooth execution and completion of the project were discussed.

Overall, the collaboration between teams was described as effective, respectful, and enriching

Pleasure for me to meet partners

Everything positive -all aspects

sufficient organization but lacking in content. Fair reception

26. Positive aspects of the meeting – strengths (Please write, what do you think are the positive aspects of the meeting – strengths)

Interaction between partners increased with a face-to-face meeting. The work schedule was reorganized and updated. A risk assessment was conducted.

The meeting was well-organized and followed a clear structure, which helped keep discussions focused and productive.

There was a positive and collaborative atmosphere among participants, which encouraged open dialogue and idea sharing.

Everyone had the opportunity to speak and contribute, which showed good facilitation and respect for all opinions.

Good vibes

A lot of positive aspects nice atmosphere respect for every participant democratic principles of work enough breaks at right time....

free time for other activities

Not-so-positive aspects of the meeting – Areas for improvement:

- Limited time for deeper discussions on some key project components
- Some sessions felt too general and could have been more tailored to partner needs
- Occasional language barriers slowed down certain exchanges
- Few partners were less active in group interactions
- Limited concrete planning on monitoring and evaluation tools
- The agenda was not intense, leaving time for informal networking
- Certain logistical details could have been communicated earlier

The work session is sufficient. The cultural visits program is good.

Clear objectives, active participation, communication...

comparison and discussion with foreign professional technicians

It is good that different experiences from groups were heard about issues related to themes in their countries,

27. Aspects that could be improved, or weaknesses of the training (Please, write, in your opinion, what are the aspects that could be improved, or weaknesses of the meeting)

I have no comment, they are professionals in their field.

In general everything was very good. It was convenient that the meeting was in a central location and that recommendations were made regarding accommodation and leisure activities.

At times, the specific goals of certain workshops or activities were not clearly stated, which led to confusion among participants.

No

Really and truly nothing at all ...Everything was up to everybody's needs

IT support during the works and guides for cultural visits

Here are some suggestions based on the areas for improvement:

- *Plan more interactive and focused sessions* with clear objectives, possibly dividing into smaller working groups to encourage active participation.
- *Ensure a more balanced distribution of speaking time* among all partners, perhaps using moderated turns or facilitators.
- *Provide key materials and translations in advance* to overcome potential language barriers.
- *Include flexible time slots in the agenda* for open discussion, networking, and clarification of operational doubts.
- *Create shared tools for monitoring and follow-up*, such as summary reports and operational checklists.
- *Communicate logistical information at least two weeks in advance* to avoid organizational issues.

Carry out more activities together, both work and recreational, to create more moments of exchange between participants and greater involvement among all. Organize cultural visits with an expert guide

/

IT technical equipment PC for each delegation and coffee break

28. Improvement proposals and suggestions for future trainings (Please, write your proposals for the improvement of the project and suggestions for future)

Thanks.

Include more practical workshops, group work

No

I don't have any

greater attention to the aspects to be improved

Here are some suggestions based on the areas for improvement:

- *Plan more interactive and focused sessions* with clear objectives, possibly dividing into smaller working groups to encourage active participation.
- *Ensure a more balanced distribution of speaking time* among all partners, perhaps using moderated turns or facilitators.
- *Provide key materials and translations in advance* to overcome potential language barriers.
- *Include flexible time slots in the agenda* for open discussion, networking, and clarification of operational doubts.
- *Create shared tools for monitoring and follow-up*, such as summary reports and operational checklists.
- *Communicate logistical information at least two weeks in advance* to avoid organizational issues.

Create more moments of meeting and aggregation between participants

as set it's fine