


RESUME – TPM4 –Spain –Eco Edu


1. Convenience of the meeting place

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the meeting place was very convenient and also the other 28.6% said it was good.




2. Convenience of the meeting dates

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 100% said the meeting dates was very convenient.




3. The information you received before the meeting, intended to facilitate your participation in the meeting

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 85.7% said the information received before the meeting was complete and also the other 14.3% said it was ok.




4. The agenda of the meeting

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 85.7% said the agenda of meeting was excellent, also the 14.3% said it was ok.




5. Meeting timetables and duration

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 85.7% said the meeting timetables was excellent, also the other 14.3% said it was quite good.




6. Equipment and facilities (overheadprojectors, internet, wifi, etc.)

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the equipment and facilities were excellent, also the other 28.6% said it was quite good.




7. Theworkingconditionsforthe meeting

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 85.7% said the working conditions for the meeting were excellent, also the other 14.3% said it was quite good.




8. Themanagement and thedevelopment of thework in the meeting

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the management and the development work in the meeting was excellent, also the other 28.6% said it was quite good.




9. Supporting documentation provided during the meeting

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the supporting documentation provided during the meeting was excellent, also the other 14.3% said it was good, the 14.3% said it was ok.




10. The results reached at the end of the meeting

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 57.1% said the results reached at the end of the meeting were excellent, also the other 28.6% said it was good, the 14.3% said it was ok.




11.Meals and coffeebreaks

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the coffee breaks were excellent, also the other 14.3% said it was good, the 14.3% was a bit disappointed.




12.Leisure, culture activities

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 85.7% said leisure and culture activities were excellent, also the other 14.3% said it was ok.




13. Balance between working sessions / breaks and leisure activities

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 85.7% said the balance between working sessions and breaks was excellent, also the other 14.3% said it was ok.




14. Project partners interaction during the meeting

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the project partners interaction during the meeting were excellent, also the other 28.6% said it was ok.




15. Working Sessions (as scheduled in the agenda)

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the working sessions were excellent, also the other 14.3% said it was good, the 14.3% said it was ok.




16. Start of meeting (welcome speech, introduction, practical issues)

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 85.7% said the start of meeting was excellent, also the other 14.3% said it was ok.




17. Presentations of partners' organizations

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the presentations of partners' organizations was excellent, the 14.3% said it was good and the 14.3% said it was ok.



18. Presentation of the project


From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the presentation of the project was excellent, also the other 28.6% said it was ok.



19. Presentation of activities and discussion of future plans


From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the presentation of the

activities and discussion of future plans was excellent, also the other 28.6 % said it was ok.




20. Presentation of results which we, as project partner, have to achieve

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the presentation of results which the project partner achieved was excellent, also the 14.3% said it was good, the 14.3% said it was ok.




21. Discussions with partners

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the discussions with partners were excellent, also the 28.6% said it was ok.




22. Clarity of each work package and its development

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the clarity of each work package and its development was excellent, also the 28.6% said it was ok.



23. Presentation of Dissemination importance and its development in the project period

From 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the 71.4% said the presentation of dissemination importance and its development in the project period was excellent, also the 14.3% said it was good, the 14.3% said it was ok.



24. Comments from partners

“Very well-organized meeting. I could get precise answers from the team and of course I could discuss with the partners”

“Excellent mobility”

“Everything was good”

“Perfect”

25. Positive aspects of the meeting – strengths

“Everything was nice”

“Overall, I think it was too much time, but to meet up in Malaga instead of Granada was a good choice”

“We could have exchanges and get precisions about implication of each team on the platform and the use on it and of course strengthen our relationship”



“The meetings were very productive and well-organized, providing an excellent opportunity to exchange experiences, share good practices, and strengthen cooperation among partners. The open communication, active participation, and collaborative atmosphere contributed to achieving our common goals. The meetings also helped us develop new ideas, strengthen professional relationships, and improve our understanding of the Erasmus+ programme. The final meeting was a valuable opportunity to reflect on our achievements and the results of our cooperation”

“Excellent mobility”

“Communications, future planning”

“Thanks”

26.Aspectsthatcould be improved, orweaknesses of thenextactivity

“None”

“Focusing on merely recycling during the field trips was not that varied. You could have chosen more diversified study visits. I also think at least one joint cultural activity could have been good instead of each team being responsible of their own- so more organization would have been appreciated”

“Exchanges about dissemination”

“The meetings were well-organized and informative. However, more time could be dedicated to practical activities, sharing experience, and discussing the implementation of project results in schools. Continued communication and follow-up activities among partners would also help ensure the sustainability of the results. Overall, there were no significant weaknesses, and the meetings were a valuable learning experience”



“More workshops”

“Nothing”

“Thanks”

27.Improvement proposal and suggestions for the future trainings

“More engagement, explanation and support in understanding and completing the work”

“More organized activities would be good”

“We could propose precise ways and examples of using the app in class”

“For future projects and trainings, I suggest including more practical workshop, interactive activities, and opportunities to exchange good practices among partner schools. It would also be beneficial to strengthen cooperation between partners, provide more opportunities for student involvement, and develop concrete activities that can be implements in everyday school practices. Regular follow-up meeting and continued communication would help ensure the sustainability of the project results and support future cooperation within the Erasmus+ programme”

“This was the last mobility”

“Nothing”